

Executive Insights

Early Mapping of a Pathway to Net Zero Is Essential for Future Competitiveness

Australian companies have a fast-narrowing window of opportunity to define, realign and refine their transition pathways to a decarbonised future. Not doing so risks reputation, profitability and, ultimately, their own longevity.

No time to waste

With global governments, investors and consumers increasingly prioritising businesses with a clearly defined pathway to net zero emissions, Australian companies can no longer sit back and wait to change.

Globally, there is widespread agreement that it is time to shift from long-term climate pledges to collective action within the coming decade if the world is to keep global temperatures below 1.5°C.

This will require each organisation to get to grips with their emissions profile, define how they plan to cut direct and indirect emissions across their business and supply chains, and chart a credible pathway to net zero emissions at the earliest opportunity.

At stake is not just rising global temperatures but the need for companies to act now if they wish to remain attractive to investors and competitive as global economies decarbonise.

Even for those Australian companies and industries not directly exposed to export markets, the interconnected nature of global trade and finance means that laggards in the net zero space face a loss of competitive advantage as customers and suppliers realign their supply chains towards a decarbonised future. There is also the very real danger of falling behind during the crucial early-learning phase of low carbon policy and technological innovation, especially as the goalposts are rapidly shifting and the pace of change increasing.

More fundamentally, corporates that lack a pathway to net zero emissions will miss the chance to determine how to better manage and transform their operations in response to emerging low carbon opportunities. Those that grasp the nettle early will be well placed to build back better, giving them a cutting-edge advantage in a decarbonised future.

Global momentum

The 26th United Nations Climate Change Conference of the Parties (COP26) to be held in Glasgow, Scotland, from 1-12 November 2021 is widely regarded as a definitive line in the sand in the shift to a low carbon future.

Article 6 of the Paris Agreement is set to be passed at COP26, establishing credible, global rules around international carbon trading and green finance. Known as the 'Paris Rulebook', Article 6 is designed to incentivise countries to set tougher new five-year emission reduction plans, known as Nationally Determined Contributions (NDCs).

More importantly, it will help them reduce the overall cost of meeting their NDCs through voluntary international cooperation and by providing access to a wealth of green lending.

In advance of COP26, public and private sector investors have been shifting their focus and lending priorities towards proactive entities with defined plans to achieve carbon neutrality well ahead of 2050 and stay competitive in a decarbonised world.

Early Mapping of a Pathway to Net Zero Is Essential for Future Competitiveness was produced by **David Ogilvy**, Partner and leader of the L.E.K. Net Zero Initiative and Industrials Practice group in Australia.



For more information, please contact strategy@lek.com.

Article 6 is expected to lead to the fixed requirement by lenders to screen each investment based on its green credentials. This means projects, companies and initiatives that do not meet the criteria will face rising cost of capital since central banking, investment banking, private finance, asset managers, superannuation and insurance sectors are themselves increasingly being judged on their role in the transition to net zero.

An example of the seriousness with which financial markets are taking climate change is Climate Action 100+, an investor-led initiative which requires the world's largest corporate greenhouse gas emitters take necessary action on climate change. Climate Action 100+ currently has US\$52 trillion in assets managed by the 545 investors participating in the initiative, representing over 80% of global industrial emissions.

According to the Global Commission on the Economy and Climate, bold, early and decisive climate action has the potential to deliver at least US\$26 trillion in global economic benefits up to 2030 and to generate over 65 million jobs.

What can companies do?

For many Australian industries and businesses, the investor community's renewed focus on disclosure, emission reduction and net zero planning will have a profound impact on the way in which listed entities report.

It will become essential to disclose what they are doing to chart a path to net zero, to demonstrate (using quantitative and qualitative metrics) how they plan to get there and to articulate how they expect to remain competitive in a decarbonised world.

Not doing so risks higher borrowing costs, rising insurance premiums, demonstrable shareholder action, the potential devaluation of certain assets (stranded assets) and, ultimately, the withdrawal of investor and shareholder support entirely in favour of more proactive companies and industries.

The global financial crisis of 2007/8 and the COVID-19 pandemic of 2020/21 were wake-up calls for governments, businesses and society, showing how dramatically priorities can change almost overnight.

Climate change is a far larger disruptor lurking on the sidelines. Australian businesses that have avoided tackling climate risk head-on so far must start doing so as soon as possible if they are to adequately transition, survive and thrive in a net zero future.

What gets measured gets done: best practice in net zero transitioning

Companies that are taking a proactive approach to transitioning to net zero emissions embody the following characteristics.

1.Emission measurement: They specifically and clearly identify and consistently measure the parts of the business where independently verifiable emissions can be reduced

(Scope 1) either through energy saving measures and/or by shifting energy production or purchasing arrangements (Scope 2) to zero-carbon and renewable-based generation sources. They also work up and down their supply chain to assist their suppliers and customers to understand (and reduce) emissions from the transportation of products, product disposal and other avenues (Scope 3).

- 2. Target setting: They set specific, clearly defined, actionable interim and final milestones in line with a 1.5°C future such as those articulated by the Science-Based Targets initiative (SBTi) showing plans to reduce emissions over set time periods (10, 20 and 30 years). They also put in place metrics comparable amongst companies within an industry sector or portfolio to monitor emission-reduction efforts and adjust these over time.
- **3. Roadmap:** They have a clearly defined roadmap which articulates the series of actions that will be taken to generate the reductions required to achieve the targets set. The roadmap recognises that there are many uncertainties and provides for various reduction pathway scenarios. Roadmaps are developed after careful evaluation and prioritisation of individual decarbonisation initiatives, including costing and consideration of strategic, commercial and financial implications, in order to define the best course of action to strengthen a company's competitive market position.
- **4. Governance:** They put in place internal governance and reporting structures that feed metrics, measurements and targets up to the board level so that senior management are clear about the company's strategic focus, objectives and adjustment pathways to cut emissions over time. Compensation structures are aligned to carbon targets, and shadow carbon prices are considered for investments.
- **5. Public disclosure:** They publish quantitative and qualitative information and data on targets, metrics and progress in a timely manner in interim and/or annual reports and reports on sustainability or environmental, social and governance (ESG) initiatives so that shareholders, current and potential investors, customers, and suppliers have confidence in the company's risk strategy and progress on meaningful change towards net zero emissions and against UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). This builds confidence amongst employees and the public, which improves reputation, boosts brand value and avoids accusations of 'greenwashing'.
- **6. Offsets:** They treat carbon offsets as the fallback (rather than the primary) option for carbon reduction and report on absolute reductions in emissions and where and why offsets have been used. They also do not over-rely on unproven negative emissions technologies as a way of managing emissions at a notional point in the future.

Drivers to net zero

Australian businesses that aim to either directly or indirectly compete in a net zero future need to be aware of three main drivers that are redefining the thinking around investments and financial backing.

Export and trade constraints

Announcements on governments and companies that are defining their net zero plans have been coming thick and fast in advance of COP26, imposing constraints on Australian exports that have not been planned for.

In recent months, several of Australia's largest trading partners — China, Japan, the European Union and the US — have defined net zero deadlines and policies that will require the organisations operating within these countries and regions and those trading with them to meet tightening emissions requirements. While Australia has not yet made similar commitments, it is likely that Australia will eventually fall into line with the rest of the world on a net zero commitment and policies to support.

In the meantime, the EU has also announced that it will introduce carbon border adjustments (likely in the form of taxes) to penalise products that originate from countries without credible net zero emissions reduction plans, a step that China, the US and others are expected to take in the coming years.

Australia exported a combined AU\$11.7 billion to European Union countries alone in the past financial year. To retain this opportunity, Australian businesses will need to shift focus and articulate their individual net zero plans or risk being sidelined in favour of more proactive exporters from other regions.

Financial sector focus

Spurred by the G20 Financial Stability Board (FSB) — which recognised in 2015 the systemic risk that climate change posed to global financial market stability — investors have been taking an ever closer look at the companies they are lending to and those companies' ability to survive and thrive in a low carbon world.

In 2017, the FSB's Taskforce for Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD) provided guidelines against which companies could voluntarily disclose to shareholders their exposure to material physical and transitional climate-related risks.

With the window closing fast for keeping global warming below 1.5°C, the TCFD recommendations look set to become mandatory, requiring all listed entities globally to disclose how they are tackling climate change in their governance, strategy and risk management processes.

The overarching aim is to chart as orderly a transition to net zero as possible and avoid a sudden, systemic disruption in global financial markets. This means the financial sector is increasingly looking to prioritise companies with clear, demonstrable emissions mitigation and adaptation efforts — and to penalise those without such policies.

Australian-specific focus

For the Australian corporate sector, the need to set goals for carbon neutrality is being driven by a shift in focus within the Australian financial and investment community as well as the broader financial markets.

Australian financial market regulators — including the Australian Securities and Investments Commission (ASIC), the Reserve Bank of Australia (RBA) and the Australian Prudential Regulation Authority (APRA) — have all placed climate risk high on their agenda. This is echoed by the industry-led Climate Measurement Standards Initiative, the Australian Industry Group (Ai Group) and the Australian Industry Energy Transitions Initiative (ETI).

ESG is now top-of-mind at corporate rating agencies, which are also increasingly looking at the sovereign risk implications of climate change. Public pressure on companies is also stepping up, with implications for products, services, supply chains and brand value. Further scrutiny can be expected in 2021. An added risk is direct litigation challenging perceived failures in directors' duties around climate risk disclosure.

What are net zero emissions?

'Net zero emissions' refers to achieving an overall balance between greenhouse gas emissions produced and greenhouse gas emissions taken out of the atmosphere. Think of it like a set of scales: Producing greenhouse gas emissions tips the scales, and we want to get those scales back into balance with no new greenhouse gas being added to the atmosphere in any given year.

Eventually, we will probably need to tip them the other way to repair past harm. Once we stop emitting greenhouse gases from fossil fuels, we still need to deal with all the emissions we've already pumped into the atmosphere over the years. That's the difference between zero and net zero.

Getting to net zero means we can still produce some emissions, as long as they are offset by processes that reduce greenhouse gases already in the atmosphere. For example, these could be things like planting new forests, or drawdown technologies like direct air capture. The more emissions are reduced, the more carbon dioxide we need to remove from the atmosphere (this is called sequestration) to reach net zero.

However, to meet the goal of net zero, new emissions of greenhouse gas must be as low as possible. This means that we need to rapidly phase out fossil fuels — coal, oil and gas — and transition to renewable energy.

Source: Climate Council

Taskforce on Climate-related Financial Disclosure (TCFD)

The TCFD recommendations on climate-related financial disclosures are widely adoptable and applicable to organizations across sectors and jurisdictions. They are designed to solicit decision-useful, forward-looking information that can be included in mainstream financial filings. The recommendations are structured around four thematic areas that represent core elements of how organizations operate: governance, strategy, risk management, and metrics and targets.

Governance Disclose the organization's governance around climate-related risks and opportunities.	Describe the organization's governance around climate-related risks and opportunities.Describe management's role in assessing and managing climate-related risks and opportunities.
Strategy Disclose the actual and potential impacts of climate-related risks and opportunities on the organization's businesses, strategy and financial planning where such information is material.	 Describe the climate-related risks and opportunities the organization has identified over the short, medium and long term. Describe the impact of climate-related risks and opportunities on the organization's businesses, strategy and financial planning. Describe the resilience of the organization's strategy, taking into consideration different climate-related scenarios, including a 2°C or lower scenario.
Risk Management Disclose how the organization identifies, assesses and manages climate-related risks.	 Describe the organization's processes for identifying and assessing climate-related risks. Describe the organization's processes for managing climate-related risks. Describe how processes for identifying, assessing and managing climate-related risks are integrated into the organization's overall risk management.
Metrics and Targets Disclose the metrics and targets used to assess and manage relevant climate-related risks and opportunities where such information is material.	 Disclose the metrics used by the organization to assess climate-related risks and opportunities in line with its strategy and risk management process. Disclose Scope 1, Scope 2 and, if appropriate, Scope 3 greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions and the related risks. Describe the targets used by the organization to manage climate-related risks and opportunities and performance against targets.

Source: <u>TCFD</u>

Summary

While climate change is as an existential threat, it is also increasingly being seen as an opportunity to create value. Companies that are facing the threat head on by actively reducing emissions across their supply chain and developing plans to prosper in a net zero environment have the potential to create significant value from the transition.

Mitigating exposure to climate risk gives proactive entities a significant first-mover advantage as solutions to a low carbon future scale up and will ultimately reap dividends from an investor, regulatory, consumer and shareholder perspective. The converse is true for those that choose to ignore or downplay the threat.

Similarly, waiting for a definitive policy or set of regulations to guide corporate action is no longer an option. The global signposts for change are clearly defined and the imperative to act will only accelerate as the timeframe in which to avert significant global warming and costly adaptation measures shortens.

About the Author



David Ogilvy is a Partner based in L.E.K. Consulting's Melbourne office. He chairs L.E.K.'s Net Zero Initiative and brings deep experience across the industrial, energy, agriculture, and related service sectors where he advises on strategy, mergers and acquisitions, and performance improvements. His international experience extends to engagements throughout the Asia-Pacific, Africa, the Americas and Europe.

About L.E.K. Consulting

L.E.K. Consulting is a global management consulting firm that uses deep industry expertise and rigorous analysis to help business leaders achieve practical results with real impact. We are uncompromising in our approach to helping clients consistently make better decisions, deliver improved business performance and create greater shareholder returns. The firm advises and supports global companies that are leaders in their industries — including the largest private-and public-sector organizations, private equity firms, and emerging entrepreneurial businesses. Founded in 1983, L.E.K. employs more than 1,600 professionals across the Americas, Asia-Pacific and Europe.

For more information, visit www.lek.com.

L.E.K. Consulting is a registered trademark of L.E.K. Consulting LLC. All other products and brands mentioned in this document are properties of their respective owners. © 2021 L.E.K. Consulting LLC

