

COVID-19 Vaccine Research and Development Landscape: Supplementary Download

Developed by L.E.K.'s Global Healthcare Insights Center (HIC)

June 2020



Contents of these materials:

- COVID-19's impact is first and foremost a global humanitarian crisis that has thrown us into uncharted territory. We at L.E.K.
 Consulting extend our heartfelt sympathies to all who are affected by this crisis around the world
- These materials provide additional asset-by-asset information on the vaccine candidates in development for COVID-19 as a supplement to the *Executive Insights* published on our webpage; the pipeline is continually evolving as new trends and data emerge
- The research and development (R&D) pipeline is evolving, with new assets in development and both scientific and anecdotal data being refreshed on a daily basis; hence, certain perspectives herein may be out of date at the time of publishing

There are more than 300 individual assets in development for COVID-19 worldwide, demonstrating the wide-ranging manner in which the biopharma industry has mobilized its response



*Denotes the date that a new drug was added or new license reported on Pharmaprojects; press release dates were used for select assets not in Pharmaprojects Sources: Pharmaprojects pull as of 5/12/2020; company press releases; L.E.K. research and analysis

© 2020 L.E.K. Consulting LLC

Case study: CanSino Biologics' Ad5-nCoV vaccine



- CanSinoBIO has produced one approved vaccine for the Ebola virus using the same platform, which was the first Ebola vaccine approved worldwide (2017, not FDA-approved), and has 16 additional vaccine candidates in its pipeline
- With Phase II trials already initiated, Ad5-nCoV is considered to be among the most advanced candidates of those currently in development

Case study: The University of Oxford's ChAdOx1-nCov19 vaccine



• The U.K. government has pledged funding to help support the University of Oxford's coronavirus vaccine program

Development timeline

- The University of Oxford is combining Phase I and Phase II clinical testing into a combined trial with numerous endpoints in an effort to aggressively pursue approval
- The University of Oxford is planning to combine Phase II and Phase III testing with a 5,000-person trial expected to begin in May 2020
- In late April, the University of Oxford announced a partnership with AstraZeneca to manufacture and distribute up to 100M doses of the vaccine by the end of 2020

- AstraZeneca's partnership with the University of Oxford is a promising endorsement of the clinical viability and manufacturing scalability of the vaccine, while the group has previously worked on developing a MERS vaccine using the same adenovirus platform with favorable Phase I efficacy and safety profile
- Six rhesus macaques among the closest to human relatives were given single doses of the University of Oxford's vaccine and 28 days after exposure had not contracted COVID-19

Case study: Sinovac's PiCoVacc COVID-19 vaccine



R&D commencement: January 2020 Humans dosed: 744 (expected Phase II) Funding disclosed: N/A Vaccine type: Inactivated vaccine

Asset overview

SINOVAC

- PiCoVacc is a COVID-19 vaccine candidate based on a chemically inactivated formulation of the SARS-CoV-2 virus developed by Sinovac
- PiCoVacc uses a proven formulation that induces a SARS-CoV-2-specific neutralizing antibody response, conferring immunity
- Sinovac has partnered with Dynavax to use their CPG 1018 adjuvant, which boosts the immune response to inactivated vaccines (e.g., HEPLISAV-B)

Development timeline

- Sinovac announced the beginning of a combined Phase I/Phase II clinical trial on April 20, 2020
- Sinovac recently expanded its manufacturing capabilities by acquiring space and funding; over 70K square meters of land were acquired in addition to funding from the Bank of Beijing to prepare for scaled production

- The antibodies generated by PiCoVacc appear to be effective against multiple known mutations of the virus, and have shown promise in trials on macaques
- No evidence of antibody-dependent enhancement, an occasional side effect in which some antibodies generated by a vaccine can be beneficial to the virus

Case study: BNT162, BioNTech and Pfizer's vaccine collaboration



- Pfizer Inc. and BioNTech announced a collaboration to co-develop and distribute (outside of China) a potential mRNA-based coronavirus vaccine;
 Pfizer will pay BioNTech up to \$748M to develop the drug
- The partnership aims to expedite the development of BioNTech's COVID-19 mRNA vaccine program, BNT162
- The collaboration builds on an existing relationship that began in 2018 to develop mRNA-based vaccines for influenza

Development timeline

- On April 29, BioNTech and Pfizer announced that the first cohort for their Phase I/Phase II clinical trial had been dosed with BNT162
- BioNTech and Pfizer will also conduct trials in the U.S. upon receiving regulatory approval (expected shortly, as of April 2020)
- The two companies aim to test thousands of patients by September 2020, and expect to be able to produce millions of doses by the end of 2020 and hundreds of millions in 2021

Reasons for optimism

 BioNTech's proprietary mRNA vaccine platforms combined with Pfizer's deep experience in vaccine R&D, regulatory capabilities and global presence create an opportunity to combine BioNTech's agile research team with Pfizer's scaled-up manufacturing and distribution operations

Case study: Sinopharm/Wuhan Institute of Virology's inactivated vaccine candidate



Asset overview

- The COVID-19 vaccine candidate developed by Sinopharm/Wuhan Institute of Virology is based on an inactivated formulation of the SARS-CoV-2 virus
- Chinese state-owned pharmaceutical group Sinopharm has partnered with the Wuhan Institute of Virology to co-develop their first inactivated vaccine, which induces a SARS-CoV-2-specific neutralizing antibody response

Development timeline

- First and second phases of the trial for the vaccine candidate were launched in April 2020, with trial participants from the first phase still under observation
- Chairman of Sinopharm, Liu Jingzhen, stated that a fund of 1B yuan (~\$141M) has been set up to support vaccine R&D efforts
- Sinopharm intends to conduct the third phase of the trial and expects that the safety and efficacy study will take one year to complete all three phases

Reasons for optimism

- Phase I of trials for the vaccine candidate has demonstrated a strong safety profile so far
- Sinopharm's inactivated vaccine is the third COVID-19 vaccine candidate to be approved in China; once successfully synthesized, inactivated vaccines can be produced on a large scale while other types of vaccines developed using new technologies are limited due to a lack of production capacity

R&D commencement: Q1 2020

Humans dosed: 96 (expected Phase II)

Funding disclosed: \$141M Vaccine type: Inactivated vaccine

Case study: Sinopharm/Beijing Biological Products' inactivated vaccine candidate



• Chinese state-owned pharmaceutical group Sinopharm has partnered with Beijing Tiantan Biological Products to co-develop this inactivated vaccine (in addition to the vaccine developed in partnership with Wuhan Institute)

Development timeline

- There is little information on the Sinopharm/Beijing Tiantan vaccine partnership; according to the Chinese Clinical Trial Registry, the study registration
 was filed at the end of April
- However, Sinopharm has been actively working on other COVID-19 vaccines that can potentially be leveraged for this project

Reasons for optimism

Sinopharm already has two potential vaccines in the pipeline through partnerships with other institutes in China

Case study: Inovio's INO-4800 vaccine



- The speed of Inovio's candidate vaccine development, backing by large players including the U.S. Department of Defense and CEPI, and its unique mechanism of action make INO-4800 a promising candidate
- Inovio is the only company with a Phase II vaccine for a related coronavirus that causes MERS

Case study: Moderna's mRNA-1273 vaccine



- The U.S. has allocated up to \$483M in funding via the Biomedical Advanced Research Development Authority (BARDA) to accelerate and support the development of the vaccine
- Early data from Phase I trials may indicate that the vaccine generates an immune response, though the data set released was very limited (N=8)

Case study: Novavax's NVX-CoV2373 vaccine candidate



- Novavax announced plans to begin Phase I clinical trials in mid-May with preliminary immunogenicity and safety results by July 2020
- In March 2020, Novavax secured initial funding of \$4M from CEPI to support vaccine development efforts
- Novavax also entered into an agreement with Emergent BioSolutions in March to receive GMP vaccine product for use in clinical trials

- NVX-CoV2373 has demonstrated high immunogenicity in spike protein-specific antibodies, which block binding of the spike protein to the receptor and wild-type virus-neutralizing antibodies
- The candidate's positive early results and preliminary CEPI funding has enabled Novavax to initiate a Phase I trial earlier than originally scheduled

Case study: Johnson & Johnson's Ad26 vector vaccine



 The company currently has a manufacturing capacity of ~300M vaccines annually, and has committed to scale this up to ~1B doses annually in 2021 through the addition of manufacturing plants

- Scientific optimism that adenovirus 26 may neutralize the ability of the virus to infect cells, coupled with an accelerated development timeline and substantial public and private funding, will provide tailwinds for AD26
- J&J has preexisting robust testing resources and infrastructure that can facilitate a quick turnaround between Phases I, II and III clinical testing

Case study: COVID-19 S-Trimer vaccine by Clover Biopharmaceuticals, GSK and Dynavax



- COVID-19 S-Trimer is a novel protein-based coronavirus vaccine candidate
- The vaccine candidate is based on Clover Biopharmaceuticals' proprietary Trimer-Tag technology, which allows the production of recombinant 2019nCOV S protein that leads to prophylactic functions in the human body
- GSK and Dynavax agreed on collaborations to evaluate the vaccine candidate with their vaccine adjuvant systems

Development timeline

- After initiating R&D on January 28, Clover successfully produced a vaccine candidate on February 10 via a mammalian cell expression system and validated it using serum antibodies from fully recovered COVID-19 patients
- On February 28, GSK announced its collaboration with Clover by providing its pandemic adjuvant system for evaluation of the vaccine candidate; on March 24, Dynavax announced its agreement to allow Clover to use its CpG1018 vaccine adjuvant
- On April 28, Linear Clinical was awarded the clinical trials, and the clinical research firm expects to begin enrollment in the next two months

- Clover owns the novel recombinant protein technology that has been under testing in clinical trials for other indications; it is now in collaboration with GSK, an experienced vaccine developer, and Dynavax to facilitate its development
- Clover is among the first responders to develop a vaccine for COVID-19; it had early access to patient samples during the original pandemic in China, which has provided a competitive advantage

Case study: GSK and Sanofi's vaccine collaboration



 Backing by pharmaceutical companies with reserves of capital and significant production capabilities is particularly promising for the subsequent challenge in worldwide-scale production occurring in 2021

Case study: Imperial College's RNA vaccine candidate

mperial College _ondon	Preclinical Preclinical R&D commencement: February 2020 Humans dosed: N/A Funding disclosed: \$28M Vaccine type: RNA
Asset overview Imperial College is developing a self-amplifying RNA vaccine found on the surface of the coronavirus and effectively trigger	vhich works by injecting new genetic code into a muscle and then produces a protein an immune response
Development timeline	
 The lead for the research team developing the vaccine, Prof Human clinical trials are expected to begin in June 2020 	sor Robin Shattock, stated it could become available in 2021
 On April 27, Imperial College announced a collaboration with 	riLink Biotechnologies to manufacture the self-amplifying RNA

- Early findings demonstrate that animals are able to produce neutralizing antibodies against COVID-19 once given the vaccine
- The U.K. government awarded \$28.33M to the Imperial COVID-19 vaccine team on April 22 to support future Phase II clinical trials and subsequent large Phase III trials

Case study: CureVac's mRNA vaccine candidate

Ċ	HE TENA people®	Preclinical	R&D commencement: January 2020 Humans dosed: N/A Funding disclosed: ~\$80M Vaccine type: mRNA		
	Asset overview				
•	CureVac's vaccine introduces to the body an mRNA sequence coded for a COVID-19 antigen, which prepares the immune system for the real disease				
•	The vaccine is being developed in partnership with CEPI as a follow-on from an existing partnership that began in 2019, which provided CureVac with funding to develop facilities to print mRNA vaccines				
\bigcap	Development timeline				
•	CureVac expects to enter clinical tri	s with the vaccine in June 2020			
	The board of the European Investment Bank approved a 75M euros investment in CureVac to scale vaccine production, in response to concerns that the U.S. government was attempting to buy exclusive rights to the vaccine				

Reasons for optimism

CureVac recently announced successful results in its rabies vaccination program, which relies on two doses of only 1 microgram; CureVac's success
with this dosage is encouraging with regard to meeting the global supply requirements for addressing the COVID-19 pandemic

Case study: Vaxart's adenovirus vaccine candidate



Case study: Altimmune's AdCOVID vaccine candidate



This document is to provide information and is for illustration purposes only. Accordingly, it must be considered in the context and purpose for which it has been prepared and be kept confidential.

This document cannot be relied upon by any recipient. In accepting it, you agree that L.E.K. Consulting LLC and its affiliates, members, directors, officers, employees and agents neither owe nor accept any duty or responsibility or liability to you or any third party, whether in contract, tort (including negligence) or breach of statutory duty or otherwise, howsoever arising, in connection with or arising from this presentation or the use you or any third party make of it. L.E.K. shall not be liable to you or any third party in respect of any loss, damage or expense of whatsoever nature which is caused by your or any third party's reliance or for any use you or any third party may choose to make of the presentation, which you accept is at your or their own risk.

This report is based on information available at the time this report was prepared and on certain assumptions, including, but not limited to, assumptions regarding future events, developments and uncertainties, and contains "forward-looking statements" (statements that may include, without limitation, statements about projected market opportunities, strategies, competition, expected activities and expenditures, and at times may be identified by the use of words such as "may"; "could"; "should"; "project"; "believe"; "anticipate"; "expect"; "plan"; "estimate"; "forecast"; "potential"; "intend"; "continue" and variations of these words or comparable words).

L.E.K. is not able to predict future events, developments and uncertainties. Consequently, any of the forward-looking statements contained in this report may prove to be incorrect or incomplete, and actual results could differ materially from those projected or estimated in this report. L.E.K. undertakes no obligation to update any forward-looking statements for revisions or changes after the date of this report, and L.E.K. makes no representation or warranty that any of the projections or estimates in this report will be realized. Nothing contained herein is, or should be relied upon as, a promise or representation as to the future.